

NOW ON SALE.

THE CHRONICLE & DIRECTORY
FOR
CHINA, JAPAN, THE PHILIPPINES, SLEAVES
SETTLEMENTS, COCHIN CHINA, SIAM, &c.
For 1882.
With which is incorporated
THE CHINA DIRECTORY.

THE CHRONICLE AND DIRECTORY,
which is now in its
TWENTIETH YEAR OF PUBLICATION.

has been considerably extended, both in the
Directory proper and in the Appendix. The
ports of CHUNGKING, WLIADIVOSTOK, MA-
LACCA, and PENANG have been added to the
former; whilst the latter includes the NEW
ORDER IN COUNCIL for the Government of
British Subjects in China and Japan, the Amend-
ED TREATY between RUSSIA and CHINA, the
NEW TREATY between the UNITED STATES
and CHINA, the NEW TREATY between GERMANY
and CHINA, a translation of the TREATY
between SPAIN and ANNAM signed in 1880 &c.

THE ALPHABETICAL LIST OF FOREIGN
RESIDENTS has been increased by upwards of
1,100 names, and gives reference to over 2,000
NEW RESIDENTS.

THE CHRONICLE AND DIRECTORY
is embellished with the following Lithographed
MAPS and PLANS—
CODE OF SIGNALS IN USE AT VICTORIA PEAK.
MAP OF THE ISLAND OF HONGKONG.
PLAN OF THE CITY OF VICTORIA.
MAP OF THE COAST OF CHINA.
PLAN OF THE CITY OF CANTON.
PLAN OF THE FOREIGN SETTLEMENTS AT
SHANGHAI.

MAP OF YOKOHAMA.
MAP OF THE TOWN AND ENVIRONS OF
SINGAPORE.

The large Edition contains ONE THOUSAND
pages of printed matter. It is indispensable
in every Mercantile Office in the Far East and
will be found a useful guide to those
willing either on business or pleasure.

THE CHRONICLE AND DIRECTORY,
is published in Two Forms—Complete at \$5; or
with the List of Residents, Port Descriptions
and Directories, Plan of Victoria, Code of Sig-
nals, &c., at \$3.

Orders for Copies may be sent to the Daily
Press Office, where it is published, or to the
following Agents—

MACAO Messrs. A. de Melo & Co.
SWATOW Messrs. Campbell & Co.
AMOY Messrs. Wilson, Nicoll & Co.
FORMOSA Messrs. Wilson, Nicoll & Co.
FOOCHOW Messrs. Budge & Co.
HANGCHOW Messrs. Walsh, Sigh.
SHANGHAI Messrs. Hall & Holt.
NANKING Messrs. Hall & Walsh.
NORTH & S. Messrs. Hall & Holt and Kelly
RIVER PORTS & Walsh, Shanghai.
NAGASAKI The C. and J. Trading Co.
HOKO, OSAKA The C. and J. Trading Co.
YOKOHAMA Japan Gazette Office.
SAIGON Messrs. Viuda de Loayaga & Co.
BAGOOR Messrs. C. and J. Trading Co.
BANGKOK Messrs. A. Budge & Co.
LONDON Messrs. Geo. Street & Co.
LONDON Messrs. Bates, Hendy & Co.
SAN FRANCISCO Mr. L. P. Fisher, 21, Merchant's
Exchange.
NEW YORK Messrs. S. M. Pettigill & Co.
37 Park Row.
Daily Press Office, 8th February, 1882.

JOHN BRINSFORD & SONS recently
patented SENTINEL PLANOS with the
new Iron Frame made especially for China, having gained
the HIGHEST DISTINCTIONS at the
Paris Exhibition, and at all
the Principal International Exhibitions. Every piano is
guaranteed for five years. Illustrated free. 18,
20, and 22, Grosvenor Street, and the Brinsford
Works, 10, Worcester Road, London, N.W., London. Agents Wanted.

NOTICE.

A. S. WATSON AND CO.,
FAMILY AND DISPENSING
PHARMACEUTISTS.

By Appointment to His Excellency the Governor
and His Royal Highness the
DUKE OF EDINBURGH,
WHOLESALE AND RETAIL DRUGGISTS.

PATENT MEDICINE VENITIANS.
DRUGGISTS' SUNDRY, &c.
AERATED WATER MAKERS.

SHIPS MEDICINE CHESTS REFITTED,
PASSENGER SHIPS SUPPLIED.

NOTICE.—To avoid delay in the execution of
Orders it is particularly requested that all
business communications be addressed to the
Firm, A. S. WATSON and Co., or

HONGKONG DISPENSARY. (28)

NOTICES TO CORRESPONDENTS.

Communications on Editorial matters should be
addressed to "The Editor," and those on business "The
Manager," and not to individuals by name.

Correspondents are requested to forward their
name and address with communications addressed to the
Editor, not for publication, but as evidence of good
will.

All letters for publication should be written on one
side of the paper only.

Advertisements and Subscriptions, which are not
ordered for a fixed period will be continued until
convenanted.

The Daily Press
HONGKONG, JUNE 10TH, 1882.

The Chinese Government took with much
disfavour upon the extension of French
power in Cochin-China. As we stated a
short time ago, China claims Annam as a
tributary, and the Peking Authorities cannot
witness with equanimity the subjugation of
French for Chinese supremacy in that state.
The Peking correspondent of our Shanghai
morning contemporary says that our Tsung-
li Yamén telegraphed instructions to the
Marquis Tsoo, the Chinese Minister ac-
credited to France, to enter a protest to the
French Government against the recent action
taken in Tonquin. It seems, however, ac-
cording to the same authority, that the re-
presentations of the Marquis were not well
received, and that he was told the occupation
of Tonquin was a matter that did not con-
cern the Chinese Government. The French
Government have, moreover, it is stated, or-
dered some men-of-war to the coast—whether
of Annam or China does not appear—to
make a demonstration. From this it is
evident that the French do not intend to
tolerate any interference, and mean to
carry out their own views in Cochin-China
regardless of the wishes or objections of the
Peking Government. It may be freely ad-
mitted that China had some little right to a
vote in Cochin-China affairs at one time,
when Annam was a tributary kingdom, and
before the French colonies were erected in
Lower Cochin-China. With the establish-
ment of the French at Saigon, Chinese power
in Cochin-China became a myth. Cambodia
soon fell under French influence, and

Annam, while retaining the semblance of
independence, really acknowledged the
French protectorate. The breaches of faith
of which the Rue Government were
guilty, and their administrative incapacity
and oppression, have at length induced the
French to relieve Tonquin from the tyrannical
rule of the Annamite mandarins. Whether
the French Government intend to formally
annex Tonquin by making it a
French province, or merely place French
officials there to administer the government,
leaving it still in name a province of Annam
we are unable to say; but we are con-
fident that, in any case, they will not be
turned from their purpose by Chinese fulmi-
nations or persuasions. They have gone to
fact to retreat, and ample notice of their in-
tention was given to the world two or three
years ago. The Peking Government will
probably pursue a similar course to that
followed by them in the case of the Ioochoo
annexation by Japan: content themselves
with protesting. It would have been wiser,
perhaps, not to have interfered at all, as to do
so was to court a rebuff. The fate of Annam
may not unlikely have had some effect at
Peking in inducing the Authorities to see
the possibility of breaking down Korean
isolation and, by the establishment of diplo-
matic relations between that Kingdom and
Western powers, preventing her from drop-
ping into the maw of her aggressive and
restless northern neighbour.

The inquest on the Chinaman unknown, who
died from paralysis of the heart whilst at the
Police Station on Sunday evening, was re-
opened yesterday afternoon. The Coroner,
Mr. G. R. Spratt, J. F. S. Kral,
and A. E. Abraham. The body was identified
by a woman named Wong Fun Yung that of
her husband, Lal Aobeng, who was a shop
keeper residing at Honan. He left his native
place to come to Hongkong on the 3rd inst.
on a pleasure trip. He was well known
to the effect of local people, who said he was
harmless. Evidence was given from the Canton steamer to
the effect that the deceased was turned off the boat
because he appeared ill, and made a disturbance
by quarrelling with passengers. He was given
into the custody of the police, and he went
quietly with the constable, no violence being
done him. On the way to the station he
was seen to be looking very bad and trembling very
much. He declined to sit in a chair, and
was unable to stand, when he was carried
to the station. The old man was unable to
walk. In March was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable
to walk. The Coroner was of opinion that he
had most probably been smitten with a stroke
of apoplexy; it was not due to any particular
treatment received by the deceased. The jury
returned a verdict of death from natural causes,
and some opinion was expressed that the man
ought not to have been carried to the Station
in the manner he was, and that he was unable<br

INSURANCES.

YANGTSZE INSURANCE ASSOCIATION.
CAPITAL (Fully Paid up) £100,000.00
PERMANENT RESERVE £10,000.00
SPECIAL RESERVE £10,000.00
TOTAL CAPITAL AND ACCUMULATIONS £100,000.00
April, 1882.

HEAD OFFICE—SHANGHAI.
Messrs. RUSSELL & CO., Secretaries.
LONDON BRANCH.
Messrs. BARING BROTHERS & CO., Bankers.

RICHARD BLACKWELL, Esq., Agent,
68 and 69, Cornwall, E.C.

POLICIES granted on MARINE RISKS to all parts of the World.

Subject to a charge of 12 1/2% for Interest on Shareholders' Capital, all the PROFITS of the UNDERWRITING BUSINESS are annually distributed among all Contributors of Business (whether Shareholders or not) in proportion to the profits paid by them.

RUSSELL & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 5th May, 1882. [2]

THE MAN ON INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.

HEAD OFFICE—HONGKONG.

CAPITAL (FULLY SUBSCRIBED), \$100,000.00.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

LEUNG, On, Esq., President.

BAN HUP, Esq., Yo CHONG PENG, Esq.

CHAN LI CHOY, Esq., Q HOI CHUNG, Esq.

The Company GRANTS POLICIES on MARINE RISKS to all parts of the World, payable at any of the Agents.

Contributory Dividends are payable to all Contributors of Business, whether they are Shareholders or not.

WOO LIOU YUEN, Secretary.

HEAD OFFICE—No. 2, Queen's Road West, Hongkong, 14th March, 1882. [511]

THE ON TAI INSURANCE COMPANY (LIMITED).

CAPITAL \$100,000. EQUAL TO \$333,333.33.

RESERVE FUND \$70,882.77.

DIRECTORS.

LEE SING, of the Lee Hing Firm.

WONG YIK FUN, of the Chun Cheong Wing Hong.

LOO YEE, of the Yee On Firm.

WONG PAK CHONG, of the San Tye Leo Hong.

TSU KAI TUNG, of the Yee Cheong Hong.

LO YEE MOON, of the Kwong Wan Cheong Firm.

MANAGEE—HO AMEI.

MARINE RISKS on Goods, &c., taken at CURRENT RATES to American, California, Manila, Singapore, Saigon, Peiping, and to all the Treaty Ports of China and Japan.

Head Office, 8 and 9, Peavey West, Hongkong, 14th October, 1882. [144]

ONDON & STAFFORDSHIRE FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.

HEHERLY CALLED THE STAFFORDSHIRE FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.

CAPITAL Two MILLIONS STEELING.

The Undersigned are prepared to ISSUE POLICIES covering FIRE RISKS at current rates.

ADAMSON, BELL & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 27th August, 1882. [405]

SUN FIRE OFFICE.

The Undersigned are prepared for this date to GRANT POLICIES against FIRE at the Reduced Tariff Rates to the extent of \$50,000 on First-class Risks.

LINSTEAD & DAVIS, Agents.

Sun Fire Office.

Hongkong, 12th May, 1882. [117]

THE CHINA MERCHANTS' INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.

HEAD OFFICE—SHANGHAI.

FIRE AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY.

ESTABLISHED 1805.

The Undersigned having been appointed Agents for the above Company and prepared to issue Policies of Insurance against FIRE on the usual terms.

ADAMSON, BELL & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 1st January, 1882. [21]

NOTICE.

QUEEN FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY.

The Undersigned, Agents for the above Company, are prepared to ACCEPT RISKS on FIRST-CLASS GODOWNS at 3 per Cent. Net premium per annum.

NORTON & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 20th May, 1882. [18]

TRANSATLANTIC FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF HAMBURG.

The Undersigned, having been appointed Agents for the above Company, are prepared to ACCEPT RISKS against FIRE at Current Rates.

DOUGLAS LAPRAIK & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 11th May, 1882. [18]

NOTICE.

THE CHINA FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.

Is prepared to ACCEPT FIRST-CLASS RISKS at 1 1/2% net per annum, and other INSURANCES at Current Rates.

Agents at all the Treaty Ports of China and Japan, and at Singapore, Saigon, Penang, and the Philippines.

JAS. B. COUGHTRIE, Secretary.

Hongkong, 27th March, 1882. [680]

NOTICE.

THE CHINA FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.

Is prepared to ACCEPT FIRST-CLASS RISKS at 1 1/2% net per annum, and other INSURANCES at Current Rates.

Agents at all the Principal Ports of India, China, and Australia.

JOSEPH COUGHTRIE, Secretary.

Hongkong, 1st January, 1882. [15]

NOTICE.

THE CHINA FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.

Is prepared to ACCEPT FIRST-CLASS RISKS at 1 1/2% net per annum, and other INSURANCES at Current Rates.

Agents at all the Principal Ports of India, China, and Australia.

JOSEPH COUGHTRIE, Secretary.

Hongkong, 1st January, 1882. [15]

NOTICE.

THE CHINA FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.

Is prepared to ACCEPT FIRST-CLASS RISKS at 1 1/2% net per annum, and other INSURANCES at Current Rates.

Agents at all the Principal Ports of India, China, and Australia.

JOSEPH COUGHTRIE, Secretary.

Hongkong, 1st January, 1882. [15]

INSURANCES.

BATAVIA SEA AND FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY.

The Undersigned, having been appointed Agents for the above Company, are prepared to grant Insurances as follows—

MARINE RISKS.

Policies issued at Current Rates, payable either here, in London, or at the principal ports of India, Australia, and the East.

A BURDENAGE of THIRTY-THREE and ONE-THIRD PER CENT. will be allowed on all LOCAL RISKS.

FIRE RISKS.

Policies granted on First-class Buildings to an extent of \$20,000 at Current Rates.

RUSSELL & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 1st January, 1882.

NOTICE.

HEAD OFFICE—THE ROYAL EXCHANGE BUILDINGS, LONDON.

POLICIES granted on MARINE RISKS to all parts of the World.

Subject to a charge of 12 1/2% for Interest on Shareholders' Capital, all the PROFITS of the UNDERWRITING BUSINESS are annually distributed among all Contributors of Business (whether Shareholders or not) in proportion to the profits paid by them.

RUSSELL & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 1st January, 1882.

NOTICE.

THAMES AND MERSEY MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.

HEAD OFFICE—THE ROYAL EXCHANGE BUILDINGS, LONDON.

POLICIES granted on MARINE RISKS to all parts of the World.

Subject to a charge of 12 1/2% for Interest on Shareholders' Capital, all the PROFITS of the UNDERWRITING BUSINESS are annually distributed among all Contributors of Business (whether Shareholders or not) in proportion to the profits paid by them.

RUSSELL & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 1st January, 1882.

NOTICE.

HEAD OFFICE—THE ROYAL EXCHANGE BUILDINGS, LONDON.

POLICIES granted on MARINE RISKS to all parts of the World.

Subject to a charge of 12 1/2% for Interest on Shareholders' Capital, all the PROFITS of the UNDERWRITING BUSINESS are annually distributed among all Contributors of Business (whether Shareholders or not) in proportion to the profits paid by them.

RUSSELL & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 1st January, 1882.

NOTICE.

HEAD OFFICE—THE ROYAL EXCHANGE BUILDINGS, LONDON.

POLICIES granted on MARINE RISKS to all parts of the World.

Subject to a charge of 12 1/2% for Interest on Shareholders' Capital, all the PROFITS of the UNDERWRITING BUSINESS are annually distributed among all Contributors of Business (whether Shareholders or not) in proportion to the profits paid by them.

RUSSELL & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 1st January, 1882.

NOTICE.

HEAD OFFICE—THE ROYAL EXCHANGE BUILDINGS, LONDON.

POLICIES granted on MARINE RISKS to all parts of the World.

Subject to a charge of 12 1/2% for Interest on Shareholders' Capital, all the PROFITS of the UNDERWRITING BUSINESS are annually distributed among all Contributors of Business (whether Shareholders or not) in proportion to the profits paid by them.

RUSSELL & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 1st January, 1882.

NOTICE.

HEAD OFFICE—THE ROYAL EXCHANGE BUILDINGS, LONDON.

POLICIES granted on MARINE RISKS to all parts of the World.

Subject to a charge of 12 1/2% for Interest on Shareholders' Capital, all the PROFITS of the UNDERWRITING BUSINESS are annually distributed among all Contributors of Business (whether Shareholders or not) in proportion to the profits paid by them.

RUSSELL & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 1st January, 1882.

NOTICE.

HEAD OFFICE—THE ROYAL EXCHANGE BUILDINGS, LONDON.

POLICIES granted on MARINE RISKS to all parts of the World.

Subject to a charge of 12 1/2% for Interest on Shareholders' Capital, all the PROFITS of the UNDERWRITING BUSINESS are annually distributed among all Contributors of Business (whether Shareholders or not) in proportion to the profits paid by them.

RUSSELL & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 1st January, 1882.

NOTICE.

HEAD OFFICE—THE ROYAL EXCHANGE BUILDINGS, LONDON.

POLICIES granted on MARINE RISKS to all parts of the World.

Subject to a charge of 12 1/2% for Interest on Shareholders' Capital, all the PROFITS of the UNDERWRITING BUSINESS are annually distributed among all Contributors of Business (whether Shareholders or not) in proportion to the profits paid by them.

RUSSELL & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 1st January, 1882.

NOTICE.

HEAD OFFICE—THE ROYAL EXCHANGE BUILDINGS, LONDON.

POLICIES granted on MARINE RISKS to all parts of the World.

Subject to a charge of 12 1/2% for Interest on Shareholders' Capital, all the PROFITS of the UNDERWRITING BUSINESS are annually distributed among all Contributors of Business (whether Shareholders or not) in proportion to the profits paid by them.

RUSSELL & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 1st January, 1882.

NOTICE.

HEAD OFFICE—THE ROYAL EXCHANGE BUILDINGS, LONDON.

POLICIES granted on MARINE RISKS to all parts of the World.

Subject to a charge of 12 1/2% for Interest on Shareholders' Capital, all the PROFITS of

